

From: [Turner, Philip](#)
To: [Miller, Garyg](#)
Subject: spoke to Jennifer
Date: Friday, January 10, 2014 4:33:29 PM

Hi Gary,

I spoke with Jennifer, and gave her the heads up about bolstering the defense of the 0.5 RBA. We are assuming that their response will come as an attachment to the response to comments for the FS. Since the PCLs in the FS are what raised this issue with HQ, I'm thinking the RBA comments from us will be with our comments on the FS. IF we would like them to provide a separate memo bolstering the RBA, then we need to let them know.

BTW, the sediment PCL to protect the Subsistence Fisher was calculated to be 110. This is for dioxins and furans. There are others for PCBs, Arsenic and Mercury.

The fish tissue PCL (DFs) to protect the subsistence fisher was 0.44

The clam tissue PCL (DFs) to protect the subsistence fisher was 6.7

Phil



9494023